

In response to your correspondence dated May 9, 2008, Our 51st contribution was, in fact, received on 12-31-07. Many of the contributions fell into the unitemized category, so individual contributor names were not shown on the reports. We have carefully maintained a list of all contributors, including those contributions under the \$200 aggregate for itemization, and we definitely surpassed the 51st contribution mark on 12-31-07. Thank you , also for clarifying the date we should use for our committee. I was using the date the letter from FEC was dated, rather than the date we actually sent our info to FEC.
